

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Ullah
<b>Given Name</b>	Chris
<b>Person ID</b>	1286200
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Ullah
<b>Given Name</b>	Chris
<b>Person ID</b>	1286200
<b>Title</b>	Our Vision
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Sound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Family Name</b>	Ullah
<b>Given Name</b>	Chris
<b>Person ID</b>	1286200
<b>Title</b>	JPA 18: South of Rosary Road
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Given that there is a proposal of the potential for a secondary emergency only access from St Cuthbert's Fold leading onto the already established Bardsley Vale estate this is totally unacceptable. If this access is jus an open right of way then this will provide a cut-through for people traversing from 1 estate to the other increasing the footfall significantly on an estate that was clearly not designed for such changes. It has been evidenced in the past that such cut-throughs lead to increased crime in the area providing easy access and egress for criminals. It will also have the potential to attract

gatherings of young people causing alarm, harassment or distress to those living on Cuthbert Road and surrounding areas.

I would like to know the following:

1. Specific detail around the definition of "secondary emergency only access".
2. Who is it providing emergency access for?
3. What emergencies are being considered?
4. Over time will there be some spurious law that says it can now be opened up as general access for the public?
5. Will the public be able to use it as a cut-through?
6. Who will ensure the maintenance and upkeep of it?

**Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.**

However, if it is to be a true 'emergency access' point and controlled in the same way as the current Alley Gate initiative with a locked gate only accessible by the respective blue light or public sector services then I feel that would go a long way to allaying many of the residents concerns.